Ī	Case 2:98-cv-00761-MJP Document	995	Filed 12/02/05	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
10 11	SOLOMON WILLIAMS, et al.,				
12	Plaintiffs,		No. C98-761P		
13	V.		ORDER DEN' PLAINTIFFS'	MOTION IN	
14	THE BOEING COMPANY, et al.,		LIMINE TO E FROM CHAL OWN PROMO	LENGING ITS	
15	Defendants.		INDICATOR	TION	
16		] Plaint	iffs' motion in limi	ine to har Boeing from	
17	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion in limine to bar Boeing from challenging its own promotion indicator. (Dkt. No. 928). The Court DENIES Plaintiffs' motion.				
18			,		
19	This motion concerns a "promotion indicator" that was used in Boeing data files.				
20	Plaintiffs argue that Boeing should not be permitted to present evidence or otherwise argue that the promotion indicator is unreliable. Plaintiffs maintain that in other litigation, including <u>Beck v. Boeing Co.</u> , Boeing's experts have relied on the promotion indicator.				
21					
22					
23	The Court finds that Boeing should not be precluded from presenting evidence or arguing that its promotion indicator is unreliable. However, Plaintiffs will be allowed during cross-				
24					
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	examination to raise inconsistent positions that Boeing has taken toward the promotion indicator				
20	ODDED				
	ORDER PAGE – 1				

## 

1	in other litigation, without reference to the outcome of other cases. The parties should be ready				
2	to argue the applicability of Fed. R. Evid. 801(2)(B)(C) or (D).				
3	The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.				
4	Dated: December 2, 2005.				
5	a Marsha I. Dashman				
6	s/Marsha J. Pechman  Marsha J. Pechman  United States District Judge				
7	United States District Judge				
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
	ORDER PAGE – 2				